

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-17, 19-21 are pending in the present application. In an Office Action mailed October 26, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 1-17, and 19-21.

### **CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH**


The Examiner rejected claims 1-17 and 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office Action rejected recitations such as referring to “a hinged door engaging the frame.” As suggested, the claims have been amended to “a hinged door engageable with the frame” .

This amendment should be entered in order to put the claims in better form for consideration on appeal. 37 CFR 1.116(b)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 15 Feb 06

By   
Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,948)  
Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)  
BRIGGS & MORGAN, P.A.  
2200 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Telephone: (612) 977-8480  
Facsimile: (612) 977-8650